. 1	DENNIS A. BARLOW, CITY ATTORNEY State Bar No. 63849 CAROL A. HUMISTON, SR. ASST. CITY ATTY State Bar No. 115592 275 East Olive Avenue		CITY ATTORNEY
2			2010 HAY 18 PM 5: 51
4	P. O. Box 6459 Burbank, CA 91510		
5	Tel: 818-238-5707 Fax: 818-238-5724		CONFORMED COPY
6	Kristin A. Pelletier, (SBN 155378) E-mail: kpelletier@bwslaw.com		OF ORIGINAL FILED Los Angeles Superior Court
7	Robert J. Tyson (SBN 187311)		MAY 12 2010
8	E-mail: rtyson@bwslaw.com BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP 444 South Flower Street, Suite 2400		John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk By
9	Los Angeles, CA 90071-2953 Tel: 213.236.0600 Fax: 213.236.2700		DOROTHY SWAIN Deputy
10	Attorneys for Defendant City of Burbank		
11	City of Burbank		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
14			
15	CHRISTOPHER LEE DUNN,	Case No	BC 417928
16	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF DANIEL BAKER IN SUPPORT OF THE CITY OF BURBANK'S	
17	. v.	MOTIO	N FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT THE ALTERNATIVE, SUMMARY
18	BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT, CITY OF BURBANK, and DOES 1		ICATION
19	Through 100, Inclusive,	Date: Time:	July 26, 2010 8:30 a.m.
20	Defendants.	Dept.:	31
21			
22			
23			
24	I, Daniel Baker, declare as follows:		
25			
26	1. I am a Deputy District Attorney for the County of Los Angeles, a position I have		
27	held since October of 1994. I am currently the		
28	Division of the District Attorney's Office. In 2007, I was a Deputy District Attorney in the LA #4850-7199-0022 vI		
MS&± LP	DECLARATION OF DANIEL BAKER IN SUPPORT OF CITY OF BURBANK'S MOTION FOR		

BURKE, WILLIAMS SORENSEN, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

ECLARATION OF DANIEL BAKER IN SUPPORT OF CITY OF BURBANK'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, SUMMARY ADJUDICATION

consequences for them.

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Justice System Integrity Division, a unit that investigates and prosecutes criminal misconduct by justice system officials. In the course of that assignment, I reviewed a criminal case against Burbank Police Detective Christopher Dunn. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.

2. The criminal case against Christopher Dunn was investigated by Sergeant Victor Lewandowski of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department and presented to the District Attorney's Office for filing consideration. The allegation against Mr. Dunn was that he warned one of his informants of an impending narcotics investigation of her by the Culver City Police Department, which investigation was generated by information received from a second informant who had recently been arrested by the Culver City Police Department. I reviewed the investigation packet prepared by Sgt. Lewandowski and concluded that Mr. Dunn's conduct was very egregious and the case against him was strong. I was particularly concerned about the fact that Mr. Dunn did not advise the Culver City Police Department that he had warned his drug dealer informant, instead allowing the Culver City officers to attempt a controlled buy from her, which would have caused them to unknowingly walk into a situation with potentially deadly

- 3. While I believed that I would have been able to establish beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Dunn warned his informant of Culver City's investigation and violated the law [Penal Code § 148(a)(1)], I declined to file a criminal case against him because there were privileges that made one or both of the informants unavailable to testify as witnesses.
- 4. Because of Mr. Dunn's misconduct, pursuant to the case of Brady v. Maryland (1963) 373 U.S. 83, and its progeny, whenever Mr. Dunn is a material witness in a case on either the issue of guilt or punishment, the defense must be informed of the nature of Mr. Dunn's misconduct. Because his misconduct constitutes the obstruction of justice, a criminal act involving moral turpitude, Mr. Dunn's misconduct may be used to impeach his credibility.

	·		
1	Consequently, Mr. Dunn's testimony is of no value in determining the truth of any matter he		
2	asserts in such testimony.		
3			
4	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the		
5	foregoing is true and correct.		
6	Executed this the day of May, 2010 at Los Angeles, California.		
7	,		
8	Daniel W. Baler		
9	DANIEL BAKER		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	LA #4850-7199-0022 v1 - 3 -		
re 4.			

rke, Williams & Borbnsen, LLP Afforker at Law Los Angeld

PROOF OF SERVICE BY PERSONAL DELIVERY

18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1511 W. Beverly Blvd., Los

Angeles, CA 90026. On May 12, 2010, I personally served the following document described as:

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California and am over the age of

2

1

3

4

5

11

12

13

25

Sorensen, LLP TTORNEYS AT LAW

URKE, WILLIAMS &

LA #4841-5131-9044 v1

6 DECLARATION OF DANIEL BAKER IN SUPPORT OF THE CITY OF 7 BURBANK'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, SUMMARY ADJUDICATION (FILED UNDER SEAL) 8 9 by delivering copies thereof to: 10 Solomon E. Gresen, Esq. Law Offices of Rheuban & Gresen 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1610 Encino, CA 91436 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above 14 is true and correct. 15 Executed on May 12, 2010, at Los Angeles, California. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22